

EXHIBIT A

FILED
12/8/2022 6:32 PM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534
Calendar, 23
201605480

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION**

IN RE THE FORMER MARRIAGE OF:)
)
PETER MATT,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 and)
)
MEGAN MATT,)
 n/k/a MEGAN MASON,)
)
 Respondent.)

Case No. 2016 D 009534

PETITION TO SUBSTITUTE JUDGE FOR CAUSE

On December 6, 2021 I intend to present this petition at Zoom Court before Judge Robert Johnson at 10 am via (Zoom ID: 934 9022 2003; Password 543296). As will be detailed herein, I am fearful that this pleading will go unheard and I am fearful of retaliation, and submit this as a potential whistleblower, given the volume of irregular events that have transpired. I am therefore also requesting that The Honorable Grace Dickerson ensure that I am granted a hearing by an objective judge not related to this case.

Summary

I pray that The Honorable Judge Robert Johnson be substituted for cause on the basis of judicial bias against me, Megan Matt (NKA Mason), Respondent, acting pro se in this case.

*Section 5/2-1001 of the Code of Civil Procedure governs substitution of judges. 735 ILCS § 5/2-1001. **Motions for substitution of a judge may be made for involvement in the action, cause, as a matter of right, or in contempt proceedings. Id. § 5/2-1001(a). A party may move for substitution for cause at any time by filing a petition that asserts the specific allegations that justify substitution. Id. § 5/2-1001(a)(3).***

Each party is entitled to move for substitution as a matter of cause. 735 ILCS § 5/2-1001(a)(3)(i). To move for substitution as a matter of cause, a party must file a petition setting forth the cause for substitution and praying for a substitution of judge. Id. § 5/2-1001(a)(3)(ii). The petition must be verified by the affidavit of the moving party. Id. § 5/2-1001(a)(3)(ii). A judge who is not named in the petition will conduct a hearing to determine whether cause for substitution exists. Id. § 5/2- 1001(a)(3)(iii).

In support thereof, I state as follows:

FILED DATE: 11/28/2022 6:32 PM 2016D009534

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

1. Judge Robert Johnson's demonstrated bias against me is grounds for substitution for cause.
2. Judge Johnson's bias has been witnessed and documented extensively.
3. Specifically: Judge Johnson's bias against me has been demonstrated by: repeated ex parte communications; repeated denial of due process; and a contempt finding against me not based in fact.

Overview

4. On September 27, 2017, the parties were divorced and the Court entered an Allocation Judgment.
5. Two children were born of the marriage, namely Angus, born on 8/11/08 and currently age 13; and, Theodore, born on 2/12/12 and currently age 9.
6. On February 19, 2019, Mr. Matt, petitioner, moved that a guardian ad litem be appointed.
7. I, Megan Mason, Respondent, opposed this appointment.
8. On June 6, 2019, Judge Johnson selected and ordered the appointment of Michael Bender as Guardian Ad Litem, two years post decree with no underlying legal proceeding pending.
9. Subsequently Mr. Bender has requested and was granted by Judge Johnson the appointment of Dr. John Palen as Parenting Coordinator on September 25, 2020..

Ex Parte Communications

10. *Ill. Sup. Ct. R. 63,(5) A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties concerning a pending or impending proceeding except that:*
 - (a) Where circumstances require, ex parte communications for scheduling, administrative purposes or emergencies that do not deal with substantive matters or issues on the merits are authorized; provided:*
 - (i) the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication, and*
 - (ii) the judge makes provision promptly to notify all other parties of the substance of the ex parte communication and allows an opportunity to respond*
11. Judge Johnson has allowed and indirectly participated in inappropriate ex parte communication via emails with opposing counsel to and from his clerk, Ms. Kaye Mason, on at least two occasions.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

12. On December 5, 2020, Dr. John Palen, parenting coordinator, accidentally copied me on an email including Mr. Bender; Mr. Christopher Wehrman, opposing counsel; and Ms. Kaye Mason, Judge Johnson's clerk.
- a. This email was one of a seeming thread of emails discussing this case. It appears there are other emails between these parties because Dr. Palen uses no address (eg "Hi John"), but rather writes a statement as if in response to prior discussion.
 - b. I was excluded and no attorney representing me has been included in this or other email threads between these individuals and Ms. Kaye Mason.
 - a. I happened to receive this one email unintentionally when Dr. Palen accidentally copied me because I share Ms. Kaye Mason's last name.
 - b. This email is evidence of inappropriate ex parte communication wherein all parties in this case, except me, are given access to communicate with each other and with Judge Johnson via his clerk, on an ongoing, secretive basis.
 - c. This private email thread almost certainly gives the opposing parties in this matter a tactical advantage. This is on the face of it evidence of profound bias and prejudice toward me.
 - d. The contents of this particular email are particularly troubling. In this email, Dr. Palen wrote, "**I want to be paid. It is as simple as that**".(Exhibit A "I want to be paid" email)
 - e. At this time Dr. Palen had been paid in full and was still being compensated by funds drawn from his positive retainer balance. No fee motions were pending or even contemplated.
 - f. It's implausible to regard this email as part of a routine scheduling matter.
 - g. Further, Dr. Palen lied about the nature of this email, suggesting guilt. Specifically, Dr. Palen, upon realizing he had accidentally copied me, wrote, "Sorry- this was meant for another case. I had not noticed Ms. (Megan) Mason on the list of recipients." (Exhibit B "Sorry this was meant for another case" email)
 - h. No reasonable person would believe this was meant for another case or that Mr. Wehrman, Mr. Bender and Judge Johnson happen to be involved in another case with Dr. Palen, as evidenced by the fact that no counterparty attorney was copied.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- i. If by some stretch of the imagination this could be considered appropriate ex parte communication, there would necessarily be an attorney for both the Petitioner and the Respondent copied. There was not, except by accident.
- j. The discussion of personal remuneration in an ex parte communication, not intended to be read by one party, is deeply troubling. Any reasonable person would have to question the credibility of court proceedings after becoming aware of such behaviors.
- k. No party has ever informed me of the other emails in the chain, much less acted promptly to notify me of the substance of the ex parte communication
- l. By allowing such practices in his court, Judge Johnson has created an atmosphere that is inherently untrustworthy and imbalanced unfairly against me.

13. The second instance of ex parte communication observed by me occurred on May 27, 2021 when, having duly followed procedures and guidelines for Cook County Domestic Relations Division under Covid protocols, I scheduled a hearing on three petitions. (**Exhibit T** *PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE AND MOTION TO COMPEL RE: STRANGE ADULTS IN CHILDREN'S HOME*; **Exhibit U**: *PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE AND MOTION TO COMPEL RE: FAILURE TO PROVIDE CHILDCARE FOR CHILDREN AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS CHILDREN'S SAFETY*; and **Exhibit V**: *PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE AND MOTION TO COMPEL RE: HARASSMENT AND FAILURE TO ADHERE TO PARENTING PLAN WITH REGARD TO PARENTING TIME*.)

(**Exhibit C** Please may I have a hearing date email)

- a. These pleadings all contain matters that seriously impact the well being of the children.
- b. The pleadings are supported by more than fifty pieces of documentary evidence including: a police report, an email from a police officer stating that the parenting time violations ought to be addressed by a judge, an email from the parenting coordinator stating that some matters need to be brought before the judge and multiple threatening emails from Mr. Matt to me and staff members at my church.
- c. On May 27, 2021 I emailed Ms. Kaye Mason, Judge Johnson's clerk, to schedule my hearing on the matters (**Exhibit C** Please may I have a hearing date email).
- d. As is protocol, I copied Mr. Wehrman, Petitioner's attorney, and Mr. Bender, GAL.
- e. Ms. Mason followed Cook County Domestic Relations Division protocols and scheduled my court appearance (**Exhibit D** "The first available date" email).

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- f. Mr. Matt did not want these pleadings brought to court because they contain voluminous evidence of his ongoing violations of the parenting plan and other misconduct.
- g. Mr. Matt had been given the opportunity to provide a written response to the pleadings and did so.
- h. Mr. Matt, via Mr. Wehrman, responded to the pleadings and provided no evidence that the claims made therein were in any way false. Mr. Matt provided no explanation for the serious misconduct disclosed therein nor did Mr. Matt indicate he intended to stop any of the misconduct.
- i. On May 27, 2021 Mr. Wehrman requested Ms. Mason ask Judge Johnson to deny me a hearing on these matters . Mr. Wehrman emailed Ms. Mason,
“Kaye: When we were before the Judge on Monday, he appointed a 604 evaluator and set everything for status on July 13, 2021. I do not believe Judge Johnson is having any hearings on this case at this time.” (Exhibit E “I do not believe Judge Johnson is having hearings” email).
- j. Ms. Mason then wrote that she would accommodate Mr. Wehrman’s request by verbally communicating his wish to cancel my hearing to Judge Johnson (**Exhibit F** “I will verify with the judge” email).
- k. As a basis for his special treatment Mr. Wehrman suggests that because Judge Johnson appointed a custody evaluator no matters should be heard. This is simply unimaginable.
- l. A custody evaluation can be long and protracted and parents ought to at no time be barred from accessing due process to address urgent matters relating to their children’s well being.
- m. Further, as is the case of financial allocation, not all matters related to domestic relations are addressed by a custody evaluation and there is no reason that such a process should displace normal court functioning.
- n. Ms. Mason agreed to follow Mr. Wehrman’s instructions and set aside Cook County Domestic Relations Division policies in order to see if Judge Johnson would grant Mr. Wehrman his favor of blocking the hearing (**Exhibit F** “I will verify by the end of the day” email)
- o. According to an email from Ms. Mason, without any reference to a legal basis, Judge Johnson verbally approved this favor to Mr. Wehrman and ordered her to cancel my duly scheduled hearing date. (**Exhibit G** “I just spoke with the judge” email).

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- p. Ms. Mason's reference to the fact that she received an email request from Mr. Wehrman and then "just spoke the judge" firmly establishes that she is in the habit of using email as a way to brazenly facilitate ex parte dealings and she must therefore in all her communications be seen as a proxy for Judge Johnson.
- q. On the face of it these events demonstrate an unequal relationship wherein Petitioner's counsel is allowed to seek and receive favors outside of open court to my detriment.
- r. Ms. Kaye Mason had previously rebuked me via email to, "Please do not include me (Coordinator) in correspondence between counsels and litigants" (**Exhibit H** "Please do not include me").
- s. Because Petitioner is given the ongoing opportunity to discuss any number of matters with Judge Johnson via his clerk on an ex parte basis and I have been strictly rebuked for any communication to Ms. Kaye Mason that is not routine scheduling, which is to say legally allowable, there is an inherent imbalance.
- t. Particular scrutiny should be given to the fact that I was at this time pro se and, Judge Johnson did not utilize the leniency afforded to judges to *Ill. Sup. Ct. R. 63, (4)* to "*make reasonable efforts, consistent with the law and court rules, to facilitate the ability of self-represented litigants to be fairly heard*".
- u. In fact, contrary to the above statute, Judge Johnson has consistently held me to a higher standard in order to access judicial process than the standard an attorney must meet. This is simply an impossible situation for a litigant.
- v. This imbalance is evidence of overwhelming bias against me.

18. In denying me hearing dates for various urgent matters I have attempted to bring to his attention, Judge Johnson deprived me of my legally protected right to due process.

19. My right to due process is protected by the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America and explicitly by *Ill. Sup. Ct. R. 63, (4)* which reads, ***A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, the right to be heard according to law. A judge may make reasonable efforts, consistent with the law and court rules, to facilitate the ability of self-represented litigants to be fairly heard***

20. In a further attack on my constitutional right to due process, I have also been denied notice and the right to participate in hearings in my own case on multiple occasions. .

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- a. In the first instance, on November 19, 2018 Mr. Matt filed a Petition for Rule to show cause against me.
- b. On December 3, 2018 I was found in contempt without having received notice. In fact I received a US postal service mailed notice the evening of Dec. 3, 2018 informing me of the hearing earlier that day at which I was found guilty by default. Curiously Mr. Matt's attorneys emailed me the ruling. (**Exhibit X** First Contempt Ruling Without Due Process).
- c. Pro se at that time I was forced to use my entire \$5,000 savings to retain counsel in order to have this overturned.
- d. On March 10, 2020, unbeknownst to me, Mr. Matt filed a Petition for Rule to Show Cause alleging that I was in contempt of court for various matters.
- e. Mr. Matt, through counsel, served this petition via email on my attorney at the time, Brad Trowbridge, on March 10, 2020, but I would not learn of this matter until over four months later.
- f. Mr. Matt is a vexatious litigant who has been in the habit of filing motions and petitions intended to harass me and inflict financial harm on me since I separated from him and our divorce proceedings began in 2016.
- g. Because he is a vexatious litigant, I had followed up with my then attorney Brad Trowbridge periodically and on April 22, 2020 specifically wrote,
*"I hope you're well and your clients are not suffering too badly from the quarantine. I'm personally **quite pleased that no motions are being filed right now, a nice break =>**".* (Exhibit I, "No motions are being filed" email).
- h. Mr. Trowbridge did not tell me at that time that there was a scheduled court appearance or that a PRTSC had been served on me and a contempt allegation made against me. In fact he affirmed that there was no litigation, writing:
"Megan. Yes, unfortunately, it took a pandemic to stop Peter's legal abuse of you!" (Exhibit J "It took a pandemic to stop Peter's abuse of you!" email)
- i. Around this time someone logged into the court filing system and changed my mailing address from 423 Linden Ave. [REDACTED] to 423 Linda Ave., **Chicago**.
- j. In June of 2021 I personally spoke to a clerk in the Domestic Relations Division and was told the change was made by someone logging in purporting to be me, not due to transcription or computer error.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- k. I had received mail without issue at this address for four years and after this notices were returned undeliverable (**Exhibit K** “Actual Docket” pdf).
- l. In April, 2020 there was a scheduled court appearance related to the PRTSC. I was never informed by Mr. Trowbridge. I was never informed by Michael Bender, GAL. I was never informed by opposing counsel. I was never informed by court mailing due to the address change.
- m. This date was postponed due to COVID.
- n. On July 6, 2020 there was a court appearance with all parties but me or anyone representing me in attendance. I was never informed by Mr. Trowbridge. I was never informed by Michael Bender, GAL. I was never informed by opposing counsel. I was never informed by mailing due to the aforementioned address change.
- o. On July 20, 2020, according to Court Docket, it appears there was to be a third scheduled date. Nobody informed me of this.
- p. I have no way of knowing what might have been discussed at the July 6th court hearing.
- q. Nobody informed me or followed up to ask why I wasn't at the July 6th, 2020 court appearance, including Michael Bender who serves as my children's Guardian Ad Litem and would presumably be curious why the mother of the children he is tasked with advocating skipped a court hearing.
- r. On July 12, 2020 I received a bill from Mr. Bender's office indicating he had attended court for my case. On this same date I wrote to Brad Trowbridge: “Zoom court? What?” (Exhibit L “Zoom court what?” email). **At this time Mr. Trowbridge still did not inform me that a PRTSC had been served on me**, writing:
- “We had a zoom court date of July 6 that I had on my calendar as July 7. That could have only have been for a short time. I also don't know how much preparation there could have been. It looks like a lot of activities have been lumped into one line item. The next zoom date is July 20 at 9 AM. Anything I need to know?”*
- s. Mr. Trowbridge has a close professional and personal relationship with Mr. Bender, GAL, and frequently has cause to speak to him. During the preceding seven months, according to Mr. Trowbridge, Mr. Bender repeatedly indicated to Mr. Trowbridge that he would be ending his assignment. He had written on January 22, 2020:

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

“Bender already told the judge this would be his last request” (Exhibit N “Bender told the judge this would be his last appearance” email)

- t. Because Mr. Bender does not speak to me or my children, I had no way of knowing he was still acting as a Guardian Ad Litem, so I assumed the court appearances were an opportunity for him to step down.
- u. On July 22, 2020, still unaware of any PRTSC allegedly served on me on March 10, 2020, I specifically asked Mr. Trowbridge if Mr. Bender had finally stepped down and if anything had been filed against me, writing:
“ Hi Brad, Did Michael make a motion to be removed? Anything filed against me?” (Exhibit O “Anything filed against me?” email)
- v. On July 22, 2020 Mr. Trowbridge finally informed me of the PRTSC served on March 10, 2020. This was four months after the fact and after multiple scheduled court appearances. Mr. Trowbridge wrote:
“Peter filed this and it was supposed to be up in April when the courts were closed” (Exhibit M “Peter filed this” email).
- w. Mr. Trowbridge actually received this pleading, as certified by Mr. Wehrman’s notification of filing, on March 10, 2020 via email. Mr. Trowbridge clearly read this pleading in March, 2020 as I would only later realize, when I reviewed his invoice for this period. He billed me for reading it. (**Exhibit P** Trowbridge Invoice)
- x. Nevertheless Mr. Trowbridge still refuses to provide me with the email or NOF that he received with the PRTSC and maintains a curious fallacy that he did not get the PRTSC, claiming, “I didn’t receive anything” on June 11, 2021. (**Exhibit Q** “I didn’t receive anything” email).
- y. As in the case of Dr. Palen, when a party in this case commits malfeasance and then lies about doing so, it only intensifies the way their misconduct damages the entire credibility of proceedings before Judge Johnson.
- z. Clearly Mr. Trowbridge either willfully failed in his duties as my attorney or was somehow profoundly impaired.
- aa. Judge Johnson can not necessarily be blamed for Mr. Trowbridge’s misconduct.
- bb. However it is the responsibility of Judge Johnson to ensure officers in his court and other court professionals operate ethically and decently and to see that they are mentally and physically able to perform the important duties of advocating for parents and children.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- cc. In fact Mr. Bender, Mr. Wehrman and Judge Johnson, are all, as officers of the court, expected to report misconduct or unfitness they witness in other attorneys.
- dd. One can imagine any number of scenarios - physical calamity, addiction, illness, even death - where an officer of the court might be unable to serve.
- ee. It is the responsibility of all officers of the court to act vigilantly when another officer of the court might appear to be impaired or unable to serve the client.
- ff. As a lay person I would have thought it unusual for an attorney and his client not to file a response or appear at court for four months after a serious allegation of contempt was made and I remain curious as to why no one ever contacted me during this period.
- gg. By not ensuring that I had been notified and given the opportunity to participate in hearings in my own case, Judge Johnson has allowed a profound violation of my rights that suggests he is deeply biased against me.

Contempt ruling not based in fact

21. One further demonstration of bias is a finding of willful contempt of court that is not based in fact. Namely, Judge Johnson ruled that I had violated his November 20, 2019 order that, "Parents shall continue ABA Therapy". (**Exhibit R** November 20, 2019 ABA Order; **Exhibit W** Aug 21, 2020 Contempt Order).

- a. As part of his pattern of vexatious litigation, Mr. Matt has long made the claim that I interfere with our older child receiving Applied Behavioral Analysis (ABA) Therapy.
- b. ABA therapy is a kind of hands-on behavioral therapy for individuals with autism and other developmental disabilities.
- c. In fact, though ABA therapy has never been ordered or recommended by a medical doctor, I have enrolled our older child in this therapy and participated many times in this therapy since he was four years old. He's now thirteen.
- d. The basis of Mr. Matt's claim that I interfere with ABA therapy stems from his history of financial abuse and vexatious litigation and my attempts to protect myself in response. Notably:
 - i. On one occasion Mr. Matt committed in writing to pay the full \$14,000 for ABA therapy for that year because I was unemployed at the time and had no money to contribute. Later Mr. Matt brought a motion before Judge Johnson to sue me for the fees he'd previously committed to pay.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- ii. On another occasion I lost my job and emailed the ABA provider that day to tell her I needed to pause therapy until we could set up COBRA. Mr. Matt has used this in court as an example of me aggressively “blocking” ABA therapy because I was in financial distress.
- e. I maintain the alleged conflict is a ruse for abusive litigation. There has never been an “Issue” with ABA therapy.
- f. Nevertheless, on November 20, 2019, based only on instructions from Michael Bender, GAL, Judge Johnson entered an order that “The parties shall continue ABA therapy”.
- g. We have done so.
- h. In October, 2019 I enrolled my son in after school care on Monday and Tuesday afternoons so that I could work.
- i. As a result of work I am able to feed and house my children. I receive no maintenance or child support.
- j. I asked the after school program director to allow ABA therapists to push in therapy on Monday and Tuesday afternoons. The school staff said they could not accommodate this as it is a public school program and they cannot allow outside providers.
- k. ABA usually requires a minimum of three hours and it was impossible to fit in on the days I worked, but our son was continuing every other day of the week, subject to therapist availability.
- l. Mr. Matt has aggressively sought to sabotage my career as part of his ongoing emotional and financial abuse as well as to aggressively control my parenting time, contrary to the duly enacted parenting plan.
- m. In November of 2019, Mr. Matt threatened me with litigation for not doing ABA on Mondays and Tuesdays because of employment.
- n. Mr. Trowbridge, my then attorney, told me I had nothing to fear because the order was that ABA therapy continue, with no number of days or hours, no location, and no specific parental involvement mandated in the order. I was made to understand that the standard of proving contempt is (theoretically) very high and this could not possibly rise to that standard since ABA was continuing and any reasonable family law judge believes parental employment is good for children.
- o. Mr. Matt never raised this issue again, nor did Michael Bender serving as GAL. I would not learn there was an allegation of a problem until July, 2020, eight months later.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- p. At the time that the PRTSC alleging that I violated the order that “ABA shall continue” was filed on March 10, 2020 (without my knowledge), the entire state was locked down due to COVID. During Illinois’s COVID lockdown no parent, including Mr. Matt himself, could possibly have been expected to continue an in-person therapy.
- q. In fact, on June 5th, 2020, Mr. Matt texted me:
“Are you ok with me getting a new ABA provider? They continue dragging their feet providing services because of covid, while many other providers work”(Exhibit S “Are you ok with me getting a new ABA provider?” Text).
- r. During COVID lockdown some providers did offer Zoom therapy but this is not an approved or appropriate methodology for people who, due to developmental disabilities or cognitive impairment, lack the ability to regulate themselves and focus for sustained periods.
- s. Our older son has severe ADHD and struggles very much with sitting in front of a screen.
- t. Our older son reported to me in spring of 2020 that Mr. Matt was dragging him, kicking him and physically holding him down in a chair to force the Zoom therapy.
- u. This violence was also reported to me by my younger son who is neurotypical.
- v. I am a former teacher with a Masters Degree in Early Childhood Education and a trained mandated reporter.
- w. I recognized this behavior by Mr. Matt as abusive and reported this behavior to my older son’s school, to DCFS and to Michael Bender, GAL in the spring and summer of 2020.
- x. In the spring of 2020 my older son’s school offered us access to an ABA software called TeachTown which I was using with my son to provide ABA therapy modified for COVID lockdown.
- y. In an effort to try to reduce violence from his father and my son’s mistreatment I wrote to the ABA provider that we didn’t need the Zoom therapy because the school was providing software that was more appropriate for children of my son’s ability than a video conferencing tool.
- z. I had no way of knowing that there was anything wrong with this because, between March and July, 2020, nobody told me there was a contempt allegation or, more pointedly, nobody suggested there was an underlying issue that would necessitate a contempt allegation.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- aa. As a lay person it is my understanding that the purpose of a contempt finding is to ensure parties comply with judicial orders, not to inflict harm on individuals one does not like.
- bb. I believe I ought to have been able to explain that my employment and wish to prevent child abuse factored into my decisions and that I had every reason to believe I was in compliance with the order.
- cc. On August 21, 2020 we appeared before Judge Johnson for a hearing but Mr. Trowbridge did not speak, specifically he did not present any points from the response we drafted.
- dd. No evidence was presented by Mr. Matt to prove that I willfully violated the order that "ABA shall continue".
- ee. I do not believe Judge Johnson fulfilled his duty to review the facts of the case.
- ff. On August 21, 2020 Judge Johnson found, "Megan Matt willfully and contumaciously failed to participate in the court ordered ABA therapy for A [REDACTED]. This is untrue.
- i. In order for me to have willfully defied the order I would have needed to know I violated it.
 - ii. In order to be contumacious, one must necessarily know they are in violation and stubbornly persist, which implies at least knowing at one time. I did not at any time know this.
- gg. On the face of it, I still maintain I was in compliance with an order that "Parents shall continue ABA therapy" as, barring lockdown and employment constraints, ABA was continuing.
- hh. Because the finding was so utterly unsupported by fact, Mr. Trowbridge told me upon ruling that he would immediately file a motion to reconsider.
- ii. Mr. Trowbridge did not file a motion to reconsider.
- jj. Mr. Trowbridge stepped down as counsel forty days after the ruling, citing ongoing "computer issues" in the court system as one reason he could not continue.
- kk. Judge Johnson's baseless contempt ruling was not based on fact and can therefore only be based on his bias.

22. One factor that has contributed to rulings not based in fact and the denial of due process by Judge Johnson is the appointment of Michael Bender as Guardian Ad Litem.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- A. Mr. Bender was appointed at the request of Peter Matt, who wished to control and harass me but was unable to find a legal basis to modify the parenting plan or to claim I was in non-compliance.
- B. To my understanding, having been divorced for two years, with no court motions pending, there was not a legal basis to diminish my parental rights in any way.
- C. Divorced women are not a separate class of citizens. We are entitled to the same rights as any other citizen and I ought to have been allowed to exercise my right to parent without state intervention, barring legitimate court proceedings.
- D. Having been appointed in the role of GAL, Mr. Bender has demonstrated a shocking inability or disinclination to advocate for my children.
- a. In two years he has never spoken to my older son's developmental pediatrician, who is a faculty member of the University of Chicago and serves on many boards of the American Academy of Pediatrics.
 - b. In two years he has never spoken to any of my children's teachers or other school staff.
 - c. In two years he has never spoken to my older son's long term ABA therapist.
 - d. He does not respond to my emails or calls.
 - e. In two years he had never spoken to my children's doctors until my older son's pediatrician tried to reach Mr. Bender for two weeks in order to report to him a sealed visit note containing reports of child abuse. A court appearance occurred in this period, once the appearance was made and he had "nothing to report", he finally returned her call.
- E. Because of my concerns about Mr. Bender's commitment to his duty, it is therefore doubly concerning that Judge Johnson has frequently set aside my due process rights and assigned Mr. Bender, as GAL, to investigate, assess and form judgement on all matters relating to my case.
- a. In early spring of 2021 I moved that a post-decree parenting evaluation be conducted (604.10 b) for a variety of reasons, but most significantly Mr. Matt's firm opposition to psychiatric medication, which has severely damaged our older son's well being and access to education as well as Mr. Matt's use of his medical decision right to incur excessive medical expenses in order to repeatedly sue me and cause harm.
 - b. Judge Johnson denied my motion and firmly stated that there was no basis or issue with parentage to warrant an investigation.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- c. At this time I told Judge Johnson that there were serious issues, such as Mr. Matt's tenement scheme and failure to supervise the children.
- d. At this time Judge Jonson told me, "If that's true, you need to file something or I can't do anything about it".
- e. I filed multiple Petitions, included here (Exhbits T, U, V).
- f. When I attempted to present these in court, Judge Johnson did not address me but spoke to Mr. Wehrman and said, "Mr. Wehrman, I suggest you talk to Mr. Bender and agree to a 604.10B or she's just going to keep doing this".
- g. On the face of this, Judge Johnson is obviously prejudiced against me if he had already decided my pleadings were a waste of time, as implied.
- h. During discussions to which I was not privy, Mr. Bender proffered to Mr. Wehrman a custody evaluation, with a custody evaluator hand selected by Mr. Bender, in exchange for denying me due process.
- i. Mr. Wehrman agreed and drafted the order for a custody evaluation.
- j. I beseeched Mr. Wehrman to limit the scope to my prior motion. Mr. Wehrman insisted on filing an order for an evaluation with no scope.
- k. I saw then and see now, that this custody evaluation is not in any way intended for my children's well being but just another example of individuals in this case seeking to silence my legitimate claims.
- l. At our next court appearance I attempted to get Judge Johnson to hear my motion for financial allocation with regard to Mr. Bender's fees; an allocation actually ordered by Judge Johnson himself at the time of Mr. Bender's appointment.
- m. Mr. Trowbridge stated, "Your honor, Bender said if we agreed to the custody evaluation we wouldn't have to deal with these".
- n. I also attempted Judge Johnson to rule on a motion I had filed to compel a subpoena related to the aforementioned financial allocation motion.
 - i. I had subpoenaed from Mr. Wehrman his record of payment from Mr. Matt.
 - 1. Mr. Matt had stated in his most recent financial affidavit related to the matter of allocation that he earns \$27,000 per year and has one bank account with \$1,000.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

2. Mr. Matt uses a bank account he shares with his father, into which his father deposits gifts and loans, as well as profits from multiple international businesses which Mr. Matt refuses to disclose in tax or court filing.
 3. Mr. Matt also uses his business accounts for personal expenses, thereby managing to report losses in the US on his own personal spending.
 4. I simply wanted to show these accounts are available to Mr. Matt to pay Mr. Bender's fees.
 5. Because the allocation motion was for financial means to pay an attorney, it was appropriate to subpoena Mr. Wehrman's receipts from Mr. Matt.
- ii. Mr. Wehrman said, "Your honor, she just wants to show I'm laundering money for my client".
 - iii. I believe this was a joke.
 - iv. I am actually trained quarterly on anti money laundering law and a licensed financial advisor so I cannot be seen to joke or make light of financial activities that may be criminal.
 - v. Such interchanges are typical since Mr. Bender's appointment. I presented documentary evidence of wrongdoing. This documentary evidence was blocked by Mr. Bender and Mr. Wehrman. Then Mr. Wehrman and Mr. Bender later use my true statements as evidence of fancy or hysteria. Jokes at my expense are frequent in Judge Johnson's court.
 1. Mr. Wehrman in particular is in the habit of spewing long monologues at every status hearing in which he disparages me and uses disrespectful language.
 2. Mr. Wehrman degrades me by typically referring to me as "this woman" or "that woman".
 3. Mr. Wehrman makes generalized, untrue, disparaging statements not related to the proceedings such as "That woman lies", "This woman doesn't care about her children", "That woman can't be trusted", "That woman doesn't want to take care of her kids".

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

4. It is very obviously part of Mr. Wehrman's strategy to attempt to provoke an emotional reaction or outburst by tyrading against me. If this is obvious to me, it would seem obvious to a judge.
5. I have attempted to stop this by doing what I see on tv and saying, "Your honor, I object. Please ask Mr. Wehrman to speak to me respectfully".
6. Judge Johnson has never indicated to Mr. Wehrman that I should be addressed politely or that he should refrain from monologuing on alleged character flaws.

23. An unfortunate outcome of the irregularities in my case is that is a challenge for me to find counsel willing to represent me.

- A. At least four attorneys have told me in the last year that they will not work with me due to the negative regard with which the Judge and GAL regard me.
- B. This further supports my fear of bias.
- C. I retained [REDACTED] in the summer of 2021 as counsel.
- D. On November 8th I emailed [REDACTED] a summary of reports of suspected crimes in my case.
- E. On November 11th, three days after I explicitly stated that I suspected and had reported crimes [REDACTED] moved to end the engagement against my objection, citing philosophical differences.
- F. I pleaded with Judge Johnson to not allow her to resign and described why this would do me material harm as a client.
- G. I did not know how or what to legally file to stop her motion, so I filed an affidavit and served it on parties. (**Exhibit Y** Affidavit Stating Objection)
- H. Ms. Brinkmeier was granted her request to quit and I am pro se.

24. The totality of events here are a basis for substitution of Judge Johnson for cause due to his overwhelming bias against me.

WHEREFORE, Respondent prays that the Court enters an order that:

- A. This case and all pending matters be immediately reassigned to a new judge in the Domestic Relations Division of Cook County Circuit Court.
- B. Mr. Bender's appointment as Guardian Ad Litem be immediately terminated..

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

- C. Any order entered in this case after the appearance of Bradley Trowbridge as my attorney on July 5, 2019 be overturned.
- D. That I be allowed to have clergy from Lake Street Church of Evanston and members of the Lake Street Church Peace and Justice Committee to be present to serve as civil rights observers in any future court appearances or meetings with court professionals.

Respectfully Submitted,

Megan (Matt) Mason
Pro Se Respondent

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION


IN RE THE FORMER MARRIAGE OF:)
)
PETER MATT,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 and)
)
MEGAN MATT,)
 n/k/a MEGAN MASON,)
)
 Respondent.)

Case No. 2016 D 009534


**AFFIDAVIT OF MEGAN MATT N/K/A MASON IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO SUBSTITUTE
JUDGE FOR CAUSE**

I, MEGAN MATT n/k/a MASON, hereby submit this affidavit under penalties provided by law pursuant to section 1-109 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure and certify that the statements set forth in this affidavit are true and correct.


1. I am the Respondent in this matter.
2. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in my PETITION TO SUBSTITUTE JUDGE FOR CAUSE and they are true and correct except as to those matters stated on information and belief, which are believed to be true.
3. I hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in my Petition as if the same were set forth here verbatim.



Megan Mason, Pro Se Respondent



SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 29 day of November, 2021.





Notary Public

FILED DATE: 11/29/2021 8:32 PM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>

to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT A



Megan M [REDACTED]

11/30/2021 9:52 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

IRMO Matt; 2016 D 9534; COURT ORDER

John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>

To: "Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)" <kaye.mason@cookcountyclerk.org>, Megan Mason [REDACTED]

Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>

Cc: Laura Fried <lfried@smbtrials.com>, Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

Sat, Dec 11, 2020 at 1:53 PM

I want to be paid. It is as simple as that

JOHN M. PALEN, PH.D., LCSW

<http://www.johnpalenphd.com>

- Psychotherapy with Older Children, Adolescents, Adults and Families
- Therapy with Children Resisting Contact with a Parent
- Co-Parenting Counseling
- Parenting Plan Consultation/Child Custody Evaluation
- Individual and Family Counseling with Members of the LGBTQ Community and their Families.
- Consultation with Men attempting to become better Partners, Husbands and Fathers

5225 Old Orchard Road, Suite One

Skokie, Illinois 60077

T: 847-967-1695

Please be advised that because e-mail is not a secure form of communication I cannot ensure confidentiality of any information sent by email. Nevertheless, this message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521, is intended to be confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any retention, dissemination or distribution is prohibited. Please reply to sender if you have received this message in error, then kindly delete it. Thank you for helping to maintain privacy.

FRIDDEC11 11:28 AM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT B



Megan M <[redacted]>

FILED
11/30/2021 9:52 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

IRMO Matt; 2016 D 9534; COURT ORDER

John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>
To: "Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)" <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>, Megan Mason <[redacted]>
Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>
Cc: Laura Fried <lfried@smbtrials.com>, Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

Sat, Dec 11, 2020 at 1:58 PM

Sorry- this was meant for another case. I had not noticed Ms. Mason on the list of recipients.

Regards,

John Palen

[Quoted text hidden]

FRIDEDDATEE:11/30/2021 9:52 AM 2016D009534



Megan M [REDACTED]

Hearing Date

3 messages

Megan Mason [REDACTED]

Thu, May 27, 2021 at 12:16 PM

To: "Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)" <kaye.mason@cookcountyiil.gov>

Cc: "DomesticRelDiv Services (Circuit Court)" <domesticreldivservices@cookcountycourt.com>














Hi Ms. Mason,




I would like a date to present three petitions and one motion to Judge Johnson and to schedule a hearing date. Attached are the petitions and motion, responses from OC and my responses to OC. These matters are separate from other issues being brought for status in the near future and related to ongoing, clear violation of the parenting plan, so I would greatly appreciate the opportunity to present them and set a trial in the near future.

Does Judge Johnson have any availability the week of June 7?

Kindly,
Megan Mason
2016 D

16 attachments

-  **PRTSC Strange Adults in Children's home stamped.pdf**
213K
-  **POS Response to Affirmative Defense PRTSC RE Strange Adults in Children's Home.pdf**
542K
-  **Matt - response to PRSC re Adults - final (1).pdf**
1861K
-  **PRTSC Re Failure to Supervise Children.docx - Google Docs (2).pdf**
241K
-  **Matt - response to PRSC re Childcare - final.pdf**
1736K
-  **PROOF OF SERVICE FILED Response to Affirmative Defense PRTSC RE Failure to Supervise Children.pdf**
542K
-  **PRTSC Re Harassment .pdf**
217K
-  **Matt - response to PRSC re Harassment - final (1).pdf**
2121K
-  **ANSWERRESPONSEREPLY Response to Affirmative Defense to Megan Mason's PRTSC and Motion to Compel_ RE Failure to provide child care and failure to adress children's safety.docx - Google Docs copy.pdf**
173K
-  **MotionToModifyAllocation_0.pdf**
684K
-  **Matt - response to motion to modify - final (1).pdf**
2112K
-  **POS Response to Affirmative Defense to Megan Mason's Motion to Modify Parenting Responsibility.pdf**
542K
-  **Response to Affirmative Defense to Megan Mason's PRTSC and Motion to Compel_ RE Strange Adults in Children's Home.docx - Google Docs.pdf**
164K

-  **PROOF OF SERVICE FILED Response to Affirmative Defense PRTSC RE Failure to Supervise Children.pdf**
542K
-  **Response to Affirmative Defense to Megan Mason's PRTSC and Motion to Compel_ RE Harassment and Failure to Adhere to the Parenting Plan.pdf**
173K
-  **Response to Affirmative Defense to Megan Mason's Motion to Modify Allocation of Parental Responsibilities.docx - Google Docs (1).pdf**
137K

Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Thu, May 27, 2021 at 12:25 PM

To: Megan Mason [REDACTED]

Good afternoon Ms Mason

Please be guided by the attached Administrative Order for guidelines on requesting dates for newly filed Motions

Best,

Kaye Mason, Coordinator
Calendar 23 - Judge Robert W. Johnson
Domestic Relations Division


EMAIL COMMUNICATION to court personnel and judges shall be limited to scheduling and administrative purposes and shall not include information relating to the substantive matters or the issues on the merits. If email communication includes any language that could be construed as impermissible ex parte communication, neither court personnel nor judges will respond to the email.

From: Megan Mason <megan42@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>
Cc: DomesticRelDiv Services (Circuit Court) <DomesticRelDivservices@cookcountycourt.com>
Subject: Hearing Date

External Message Disclaimer

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

[Quoted text hidden]

-
-  **AO 2020 D 13 Amended.pdf**
1162K
-

Thu, May 27, 2021 at 12:41 PM

Megan Mason [REDACTED]

To: "Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)" <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Cc: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>, "DomesticRelDiv Services (Circuit Court)" <domesticreldivservices@cookcountycourt.com>

Hi Ms. Mason,

Thanks for your response. I have served OC, OC has responded, I have responded to the affirmative defense. I am copying Mr. Wehrman here as I believe we are at this step:

"After the time to reply expires, the movant shall submit the non-emergency motion and any responses and replies to the Circuit Court (along with all necessary and referenced exhibits) via e-mail transmission with all counsel of record or self-represented parties included as recipients of the e-mail to the following individuals at the date that time to reply expires:

- the Court Coordinator for any judge who maintains an individual calendar, or
- i. the Division Administrator for any judge who does not have a Court Coordinator, or;
- ii. any other method directed by the judge assigned to the matter."

May I please have a date to present the pleadings?

Thanks,
Megan Mason

[Quoted text hidden]

FILED DATE: 12/8/2022 6:14 PM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT D



Megan M [REDACTED]

11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534
Calendar, 23

Re: MATT 16 D 9534 Request for Hearing Date

8 messages

Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Thu, May 27, 2021 at 12:56 PM

To: Megan Mason [REDACTED]

Cc: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>

Hi again

Please remember to include your case name and number on all submissions to the court.

The first available hearing date is July 27 at 11 am. Kindly advise of your availability

Kaye Mason, Coordinator
Calendar 23 - Judge Robert W. Johnson
Domestic Relations Division

EMAIL COMMUNICATION to court personnel and judges shall be limited to scheduling and administrative purposes and shall not include information relating to the substantive matters or the issues on the merits. If email communication includes any language that could be construed as impermissible ex parte communication, neither court personnel nor judges will respond to the email.

From: Megan Mason <megan42@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 12:41 PM
To: Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>
Cc: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>; DomesticRelDiv Services (Circuit Court) <DomesticRelDivservices@cookcountycourt.com>
Subject: Re: Hearing Date

External Message Disclaimer

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Hi Ms. Mason,

Thanks for your response. I have served OC, OC has responded, I have responded to the affirmative defense. I am copying Mr. Wehrman here as I believe we are at this step:

"After the time to reply expires, the movant shall submit the non-emergency motion and any responses

FRIDAY, MAY 27, 2022 8:32 PM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>

to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT E



Megan M <[REDACTED]>

11/30/2021 9:02 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Re: MATT 16 D 9534 Request for Hearing Date

Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>

Thu, May 27, 2022 at 1:08 PM

To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]> "Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)" <kaye.mason@cookcounty.gov>

Cc: Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

Kaye:

When we were before the Judge on Monday, he appointed at 604 evaluator and set everything for status on July 13, 2021. I do not believe Judge Johnson is having any hearings on this case at this time.

Chris

Christopher D. Wehrman | Partner

Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP

330 N. Wabash #3300

Chicago, IL 60611

Office: 312/321-9100

Direct: 312/222-8534

Fax: 312/321-0990

[Quoted text hidden]

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.

2016D009534
FRIDAY, MAY 27, 2022 8:32 PM

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT F

megan M <

11/30/2021 9:52 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Re: MATT 16 D 9534 Request for Hearing Date

Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Thu, May 27, 2021 at 1:15 PM
15765159

To: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>, Megan Mason <

Cc: Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

The judge is in a hearing but I will verify before the end of the day

Kaye Mason, Coordinator
Calendar 23 - Judge Robert W. Johnson
Domestic Relations Division

EMAIL COMMUNICATION to court personnel and judges shall be limited to scheduling and administrative purposes and shall not include information relating to the substantive matters or the issues on the merits. If email communication includes any language that could be construed as impermissible ex parte communication, neither court personnel nor judges will respond to the email.

From: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 1:08 PM

To: Megan Mason < >; Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office)
<kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Cc: Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

Subject: RE: MATT 16 D 9534 Request for Hearing Date

[Quoted text hidden]

2016D009534
FRIEDDATE:11/30/2021 9:52 AM

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>

to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



Megan M [REDACTED]

11/06/2021 8:02 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Re: MATT 16 D 9534 Request for Hearing Date

Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye_mason@cookcountyil.gov>

Thu, May 27, 2022 at 2:10 PM

To: Megan Mason [REDACTED] Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>

Calendar 28
15765159

Cc: Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>

Ms Matt

I just spoke with Judge Johnson and he has indicated that he will not be hearing any other issues on this case until he has heard from the evaluator.

Please be guided accordingly.

[Quoted text hidden]

2016D009534
FRIEDDATEE:11/28/2022 8:32 PM

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0> to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



Megan M <[REDACTED]>

IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

IRMO Matt; 2016 D 9534; COURT ORDER

Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov> Thu, Dec 3, 2020 at 12:44 PM
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]> Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com> 15765159
Cc: Laura Fried <lfried@smbtrials.com>, Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>, John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>

Please do not include me (Coordinator) in correspondence between counsels and litigants

Kaye Mason
Coordinator
Domestic Relations Division

EMAIL COMMUNICATION to court personnel and judges shall be limited to scheduling and administrative purposes and shall not include information relating to the substantive matters or the issues on the merits. If email communication includes any language that could be construed as impermissible ex parte communication, neither court personnel nor judges will respond to the email.

From: Megan Mason <megan42@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 3, 2020 12:35 PM
To: Christopher Wehrman <cwehrman@smbtrials.com>
Cc: Kaye Mason (Chief Judge's Office) <kaye.mason@cookcountyil.gov>; Laura Fried <lfried@smbtrials.com>; Michael I Bender <mbender@caesarbenderlaw.com>; John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>
Subject: Re: IRMO Matt; 2016 D 9534; COURT ORDER

External Message Disclaimer

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

[Quoted text hidden]

FRIDDEC03 11:28:01 AM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



EXHIBIT I

Megan M <[REDACTED]>
FILED
11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534
Wed, Apr 14, 2022 at 10:31 AM
15765159

Invoice

Megan Mason [REDACTED]
To: Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>

Hi Brad,

I hope you're well and your clients are not suffering too badly from the quarantine. I'm personally quite pleased that no motions are being filed right now, a nice break =).

Please run the attached cc for the \$200 balance.

Thanks,
Megan
[Quoted text hidden]

FILED DATE: 11/30/2021 9:32 AM 2016D009534

EXHIBIT J

Megan M <[REDACTED]>

11/30/2021 9:52 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Wed, Apr 14, 2022 at 11:19 AM
Gale, J
15765159



Invoice

Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>

Hi Megan. Yes, unfortunately, it took a pandemic to stop Peter's legal abuse of you! I don't think there's a cc attached unless there's a glitch on my end. Stay well!

Brad Trowbridge
The Law Offices of Bradley R. Trowbridge
3257 N. Sheffield Suite 104
Chicago, IL 60657
P: 773-784-9900
E: brad@bradtrowbridge.com

PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action based on the contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please immediately notify us at (773) 784-9900.

[Quoted text hidden]

FRIDAY, APR 15, 2022 8:32 AM 2016D009534



Megan M <[REDACTED]> EXHIBIT L

Re: New Bill from Caesar & Bender, LLP

13 messages

Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 12:37 PM

We had a zoom court date of July 6 that I had on my calendar as July 7. That could have only have been for a short time. I also don't know how much preparation there could have been. It looks like a lot of activities have been lumped into one line item. The next zoom date is July 20 at 9 AM. Anything I need to know?

On Sun, Jul 12, 2020, 12:25 PM Megan Mason <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Zoom court? What?

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Caesar & Bender, LLP** <notifications@clio.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 12, 2020 at 12:18 PM
Subject: New Bill from Caesar & Bender, LLP
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>



Caesar & Bender, LLP

Dear Megan Mason,
Your bill is ready. You can view it in the attachment.

Account summary

Amount due on Invoice 4768	\$455.00
Due date: 07/12/2020	
Amount due on 3 other invoices	\$1,333.50
Total amount due	\$1,788.50

[Pay online now](#)

The payment link will expire in 90 days upon receipt.

FILED DATE: 12/8/2022 6:14 PM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT M



Megan M <[REDACTED]>

11/30/2021 9:52 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Re: New Bill from Caesar & Bender, LLP

Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Jan 20, 2022 at 9:15 AM
15765159

Peter filed this and it was supposed to be up in April when courts were closed. We were given time today to respond to it so I need input from you about how to respond.

Brad Trowbridge
The Law Offices of Bradley R. Trowbridge
3257 N. Sheffield Suite 104
Chicago, IL 60657
P: 773-784-9900
E: brad@bradtrowbridge.com

PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action based on the contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please immediately notify us at (773) 784-9900.

[Quoted text hidden]

 **PRTSC.pdf**
604K

FILED DATE: 1/20/2022 9:32 AM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



EXHIBIT N

Megan M <[REDACTED]>

1/16/2021 9:02 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Can you catch me up on status with Michael Bender?

Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>
To: Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>

Wed, Jan 13, 2021 at 3:28 PM
Calendar 28
15765159

Bender already told the judge this would be his last request for a continuance if no one files anything.

[Quoted text hidden]

FRIDAY, JAN 15, 2021 11:28 AM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED EXHIBIT O



Megan M <[REDACTED]>

11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Court

Megan Mason <[REDACTED]>
To: Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>

Mon, Jan 20, 2022 at 2:46 PM
15765159

Hi Brad,
Did Michael make a motion to be removed? Anything filed against me?
M

FRIDEDDATEE:11289/2022 8:32 PM 2016D009534

EXHIBIT P
FILED
11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534
Calendar, 23
15765159

THE LAW OFFICES OF
BRADLEY R. TROWBRIDGE
3257 NORTH SHEFFIELD AVENUE, SUITE 104
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60657

INVOICE: MEGAN MASON

DATE	EE	ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	HOURS	LINE TOTAL
6/13/19	BT	Payment				
6/14/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/9/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/14/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/20/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/20/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/24/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/25/19	BT	Time Charge				
7/29/19	BT	Time Charge				
8/5/19	BT	Time Charge				
8/29/19	BT	Time Charge				
9/16/19	BT	Time Charge				

FILED DATE: 11/30/2021 9:32 AM 2016D009534

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT P

9/18/19	BT	Time Charge				
11/20/19	BT	Time Charge				
11/19/19	BT	Time Charge				
1/13/19	BT	Time Charge				
1/14/20	BT	Time Charge				
2/24/20	BT	Time Charge				
2/24/20	BT	Time Charge				
3/2/20	BT	Time Charge				
3/10/20	BT	Time Charge	Review Petition for Rule to Show Cause	\$300.00	.10	-\$30.00

FILED DATE: 11/29/2022 8:32 PM 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.



EXHIBIT Q

Megan M <megan42@gmail.com>
FILED
11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534
Calendar
Fri, Jul 1, 2023 at 9:49 AM
15765159

Missing records, please send

Brad Trowbridge <brad@bradtrowbridge.com>
To: Megan Mason [REDACTED]

I've attached the Notice of Motion. Although the Notice states the Motion was going to be presented on March 20, 2020, there was no court on March 20, 2020, as courts were closed due to the pandemic. Once we returned to court in July, the judge set the Motion for hearing on August 20, 2020. I sent you the Motion. You obviously knew about the Motion. I worked with you to file a Response to the Motion. We spent considerable time amending it before I filed it. We prepared for a hearing, and a hearing was conducted on August 20, 2020. You were present and testified at the hearing. Again, the Notice is not substantive. You're claiming you didn't receive a Notice for a court date that never occurred.

Finally, it's untrue that I failed to send you "a lot." I sent you everything. Relying on the Clerk's website is not going to help you put together your file. First, there are some things on your list for which documents do not exist. Second, as I explained, they make errors. They report a law firm that wasn't part of the case filed documents. They also report they sent you a postcard on March 20, 2020. They had you listed as representing yourself (Pro Se), although you weren't. They also report they sent me "electronic notice," but I didn't receive anything. I assume the postcard and electronic notice was just notifying everyone that there would be no court on March 20, 2020.

[Quoted text hidden]

NOM PRSC 3.10.20.pdf
39K

FRIDAY, JUL 1, 2023 9:32 AM 2016D009534



Peter >

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

EXHIBIT S

I am coming now

Yesterday 3:41 PM

I will pick them up in 10mins

Are you ok with me getting a new ABA provider? They continue dragging their feet providing services because of covid, while many other providers work.

Today 11:23 AM

Please respond

I'll note this in Talking Parents

You may have your attorney contact my attorney if you need anything else from me



Text Message



FILED DATE: 12/8/2022 6:14 PM 2016D009534

EXHIBIT A CONTINUED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

IN RE: THE MARRIAGE OF)
PETER MATT,)
Petitioner,)
and)
MEGAN MATT,)
Respondent.)

Case No. 2016 D 9534

EXHIBIT W
FILED
11/30/2021 9:32 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Associate Judge Robert W. Johnson
Calendar 23
15765459

AUG 21 2020

Circuit Court-2156

ORDER

This cause coming before the Court for a hearing on Peter Matt's two count Petition for Rule to Show Cause, both parties appearing remotely with their counsel and the Guardian Ad Litem appearing, and the Court holding an evidentiary hearing and otherwise being advised,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Peter Matt's Petition for Rule to Show Cause, Count I, is granted in part and denied in part. The Court finds that Megan Matt willfully and contumaciously failed to participate in the court ordered ABA therapy for A [REDACTED] in violation of the Allocation Judgment and the obligation to co-parent on Significant Decisions, and therefore is found to be in indirect civil contempt of court following the issuance of a Rule and her failure to provide a legally sufficient reason for failure to comply with the Allocation Judgment, but she did not violate the Allocation Judgment as it alleged as to soccer, religion, and a new psychiatrist.
2. Peter Matt's Petition for Rule to Show Cause, Count II, is granted. The Court finds that Megan Matt willfully and contumaciously failed to participate in the court ordered ABA therapy for A [REDACTED] in violation of the November 20, 2019 Court Order, and therefore is found to be in indirect civil contempt of court following the issuance

FILED D:\APPEL\1730520202209534\ARW 2016D009534

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>

to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.

Remote Court Date: No hearing scheduled



Megan M <megan42@gmail.com>
 FILED
 12/8/2022 6:14 PM
 IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
 CIRCUIT CLERK
 COOK COUNTY, IL
 2016D009534

zoom

peter@goedecke.com <peter@goedecke.com>

Tue, Apr 27, 2022 at 10:08 AM
 20611480

To: John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>, Megan Mason [REDACTED]

Thanks, here is my agenda. I removed the threatened court filings by Megan since I believe you don't want to talk about it, and I added Ar [REDACTED] summer camp as communication broke down and I added Ar [REDACTED] working for my company

- T [REDACTED] summer enrichment
- T [REDACTED] summer soccer camp
- T [REDACTED] summer residential camp
- T [REDACTED] soccer league and practice. Talked to Bender? Whitlock?
- Ar [REDACTED] summer camp
- Ar [REDACTED] working in my company
- PC modus operandi:
 - When do you respond to mails and when do you not? Why can I not call meetings or why do we not meet more often? Don't you think it will reduce the flood of court filings? /Coincidence?
 - Why does PC stop when motion/petition is filed? Usually mediation stops when parties are in trial, no? Do all PCs operate like that?
- Megan's language of last two weeks (insane, deluded, not in reality, in need of therapy etc) – setting boundaries possible
- Megan's not copying me on ABA communication or replying to my mail thereof, now saying I am harassing
- Megan's non-compliance with Spring break return schedule and non-acknowledgment thereof
- Reducing communication about soccer with Peter, so why not participate with communication directly with club

[Quoted text hidden]

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.

Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>

to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs

Remote Court Date: No hearing scheduled

Goedecke and Associates, Inc.

FILED

12/8/2022 6:14 PM

IRIS Y. MARTINEZ

CIRCUIT CLERK

COOK COUNTY, IL

2016D009534

Case No. 23-1483

2016D009534

Identity

Goedecke offers high-quality, fast and reliable procurement service of IT, banking and POS spare parts. With Angus as the new owner and decision maker Goedecke will become a diversified supplier certified by DisabilityIN. Angus will learn valuable life skills.

Problem

Large, publicly listed maintenance and repair organizations are looking for high-quality spare parts and their fast delivery. As part of their social responsibility commitments to their shareholders these companies are looking to do business with companies that have a *Supplier Diversity Certification*.

Our solution

Our decades old organization acquired a large database of vendors that enables us to offer new and end-of-life parts to our customers. We can procure high quality parts quickly for a good price due to our long standing relationships, while being supplier diversity certified.

Target market

Large and global maintenance and repair organizations that are looking to outsource parts of their purchasing and supply chain processes in a social responsible way.

The competition

We are specialized in hard-to-find and end-of-life spare parts since many years and have built deep relationships with our business partners. Other companies that can offer such customized service are similar small and flexible companies like TeamOne, Redsis, RMC International.

Revenue streams

Goedecke sells directly to customers by responding to their daily RFQs. Angus' salary, as well as any distributions should remain with Peter. Megan should have no claim to any of the money or shares even in case of the death of Ar█████. Megan shall not impact the business in any way.

Marketing activities

Goedecke will search the internet for other public opportunities to respond to those RFQs invitations.

Expenses

- Labor to search parts and offer them to the customers after applying a margin
- Shipping and packing material cost
- Space for inventory, test and repacking the products

Team and key roles

Currently, the team members are:

- Peter Matt, the current 100% owner, 12 years tenure
- Leo Matt and family in Germany
- Hemendra (8 years), Pratik (8 years), Shashikant (6 years) and Jalpa (5 years) in India

Ar█████ is familiar with all the team members.

Milestones

As the business matures, Goedecke will improve its on-time-shipment performance, will get invited to more RFQ opportunities due to its diversified status and will on-board new clients.

Once Ar█████ becomes eligible for SSDI and/or in case his ownership is no longer beneficial as been decided by Peter, his shares and title should fall back to Peter.

All Domestic Relations cases will be heard by phone or video.
Go to <http://www.cookcountycourt.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=G7A8KAcSi8E%3d&portalid=0>
to get more information and Zoom Meeting IDs.
Remote Court Date: No hearing scheduled



Megan M <megan42@gmail.com>
12/8/2022 6:14 PM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2016D009534

Truing up parenting plan for parenting time

peter@goedecke.com <peter@goedecke.com>
To: Megan Matt [REDACTED]
Cc: John Palen <jpalen@johnpalenphd.com>

Tue, May 17, 2023 at 11:26 AM
20611480

Hi Megan,
I talked with John on the below last week. He believes it is not necessary since he is already advising us on the scheduling and we don't have any conflict on this. If one of us would violate the schedule he would step in. In this regard the parenting plan is trued up and in place for about 5 years, I believe. I understand that you are upset about May 2nd, when dropped the kids late. Can we talk about this in our next meeting with John, which should be in about 2 weeks?

Further, I talked to A [REDACTED] Orthodontist. They refunded us \$500 of the \$1,000 deposit. They are saying they cannot refund us the other \$500 as it has paid for the panorex xray, lateral cephalometric and Dr's diagnostic treatment planning. This is understandable and ok from my side.

I also did a business plan, as suggested by John, for A [REDACTED] becoming the main shareholder of Goedecke so the company would get a Disability Supplier Diversity Certification. Please see attach and comment. To address your specific concerns how it would impact A [REDACTED] entitlements, I should guarantee that you or A [REDACTED] would not have any financial disadvantages and most likely once A [REDACTED] would turn 18 and eligible for SSDI, we would reverse A [REDACTED] ownership and control.

Regards,
Peter

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Goedecke Business Plan with Angus.pdf**
69K

FILED DATE: 12/8/2022 6:14 PM 2016D009534